NIAC Working Group on Internet Hardening

Interim Progress Report

George Conrades, Chairman and CEO - Akamai Technologies

Presented by

Andy Ellis, Director of Information Security - Akamai Technologies

13 July 2004

1

Agenda

- Background
- Methodology
- □ Challenges
- □ Recommendation Areas
- Next Steps

Background

- ☐ July 2003 meeting, President Bush asks NIAC what can be done to harden the Internet
- NIAC establishes a working group to address the challenge of Internet Hardening

3

Mission/Objectives

- ☐ Develop guidance based on best practices in Internet systems management
 - Infrastructure advice aimed at network operators
 - Customer environment advice aimed at end users and enterprise networks
- Evaluate long term technologies to improve the environment
- ☐ Derive policy recommendations for President Bush based on developed guidance
 - Government internal policies to increase security on government networks
 - Policies to encourage private sector security improvements

Methodology

- □ Created two study groups
 - Infrastructure protection
 - Customer environment
- Meeting weekly for duration of working group
 - Assessing state of "best practices" published by other organizations
 - Evaluated proposals and recommendations from other organizations

5

Study Group Participants

- ☐ George Conrades, Akamai
- □ Bora Akyol, Cisco
- ☐ Pete Allor, ISS
- ☐ Al Berkeley, Community of Science
- Matt Bishop, UCDavis
- □ Vint Cerf, MCI
- ☐ Steve Crocker, ICANN
- □ John Clarke, USCERT
- ☐ Richard Clarke, GoodHarbor Consulting
- ☐ Sean Convery, Cisco
- Andy Ellis, Akamai
- □ John Faherty, DHS
- Noam Freedman, Akamai

- Peg Grayson, V-One
- Barry Greene, Cisco
- Matt Korn, AOL
- □ Deb Miller, V-One
- Bob Mahoney, Zanshin Security
- ☐ Gerry Macdonald, AOL
- Paul Nicholas, EOP
- ☐ Mike Petry, MCI
- □ Jeff Schiller, MIT
- □ Howard Schmidt, eBay
- Marty Schulman, Juniper
- □ Paul Vixie, ISC
- □ Ken Watson, Cisco
- Nancy Wong, DHS
- Lee Zeichner, GMU

Challenges

- Distributed Denial of Service
 - The availability of easily compromised computers on the Internet provides attackers with potent weapons against Internet-connected systems
- □ Infrastructure Protocol Security
 - Technologies not designed to prevent false control messages, but Best Current Practices sufficient for now
 - For the long term, moving to more secure protocols may be required

7

Recommendation Areas

- Education and awareness
 - End-user system security
 - Corporate security
- □ Research
 - New technologies
 - Investigation of secure protocol versions
- Empowerment
 - ISPs to act against aggressors
 - Law enforcement to focus on attackers

Education and awareness

- □ Develop academic curricula targeted at security needs.
- ☐ Target, via mass media, end-users on Internet security requirements.
- Corporate information security board level issue.

9

Research and Development

- ☐ Investigation of secure protocol versions
 - Exploration of costs and benefits; implementation schemes; new, more secure core technologies
- Advanced security management technologies, including:
 - Scalable tools for network analysis
- Security governance issues
 - Understanding factors relating to adoption of best practices
 - Security ROI business case studies

Empowerment

- ☐ Investigate methods for ISPs to provide security controls.
- □ Investigate barriers to law enforcement prosecution of cyber crimes.

11

Next Steps

- ☐ Finalize draft report for the NIAC
- □ Submit report to NIAC for review